Phénomènes de rotation dans les plasmas partiellement magnétisés

Jean-Pierre BOEUF LAPLACE, CNRS, University of Toulouse







GDR EMILI, 23-26 octobre 2023

J.P. Boeuf and A. Smolyakov, Physics of Plasmas 30, 050901 (2023)

GDR EMILI 2023

JPB

Laplace

OUTLINE

- Basic physics of low temperature partially magnetized *E* × *B* plasmas Classical, collisional cross-field transport, Hall parameter Conditions and orders of magnitude Closed drift devices Instabilities and anomalous transport – Dispersion relations
- 2. Rotating instabilities in E × B discharges devices Two examples Micro-instability in Hall thrusters: the Electron cyclotron drift instability (ECDI) Macro-instability in Magnetron discharges and Hall thrusters and evolution toward an ionization instability
- 3. Conclusion



- **1.** Basic physics of low temperature $E \times B$ plasmas
- Electron trajectory in EXB fields



- Electron drift in EXB direction $u_e = E/B$
- Electrons are trapped in the E direction: no transport in the E direction without collisions
- Free motion along B

cyclotron frequency

$$\Omega_{ce} = \frac{eB}{m}$$

Larmor radius

$$\rho_e = \frac{v_\perp}{\Omega_{ce}}$$

Electron-neutral collisions allow transport // E



Low pressure discharge in small device (e.g. mtorr, cms)

- Electron mean free path >> dimensions
- \rightarrow Magnetic field \perp to applied electric field to confine electrons
- → electron residence time is increased allowing ionization and plasma generation
- Partially magnetized plasmas $\rho_e \ll L$, $\rho_i > L$,



- **1.** Basic physics of low temperature $E \times B$ plasmas
- Conditions and orders of magnitude

В	$10^2 - 10^3$ G
$\Omega_{ce} = eB/m$	10 ⁹ - 10 ¹⁰ s ⁻¹
$\rho_e = v_e / \Omega_{ce}$	50 – 500 μm
$\Omega_{ci} = eB/M$	10 ⁴ – 10 ⁵ s ⁻¹
$\rho_i = v_i / \Omega_{ci}$	5–50 mm

p	0.1 – 10 Pa
$v_{en} \equiv v$	10 ⁶ – 10 ⁸ s ⁻¹
v_{in}	$10^4 - 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$

Hall parameter h characterizes electron confinement efficiency

 $h = \Omega_{ce} / \nu_{en}$ = 1 mtorr B=100 G $h \approx 2 \ 10^9 / 2 \ 10^6 = 10^3$

- can be as large as or larger than 10³
- can vary by several orders of magnitude in the same device

Laplace

GDR EMILI 2023

- **1.** Basic physics of low temperature $E \times B$ plasmas
- Classical, collisional transport theory:
- Simplified electron momentum equation assuming axial electric field and axial plasma density gradient

$$\mu_{e0} = \frac{e}{mv_{en}}$$

$$\mu_{e0} = \frac{e}{mv_{en}}$$

$$\left\{ v_{ex} = -\frac{\mu_{e0}}{1+h^2} \left(E_x + \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial nT_e}{\partial x} \right) \right\}$$

$$\left\{ v_{ex} = \mu_{e0} \frac{h}{1+h^2} \left(E_x + \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial nT_e}{\partial x} \right) \right\}$$

$$\left\{ u_{ex} = \mu_{e0} \frac{h}{1+h^2} \left(E_x + \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial nT_e}{\partial x} \right) \right\}$$
Hall parameter $h = \frac{eB}{mv_{en}} = \frac{\Omega_{ce}}{v_{en}} \gg 1$

$$en[\boldsymbol{E} + \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \times \boldsymbol{B}] + e\nabla(nT_{\boldsymbol{e}}) + mn\boldsymbol{v}_{en}\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{e}} = \boldsymbol{0}$$

- **1.** Basic physics of low temperature $E \times B$ plasmas
- Classical, collisional transport theory:
- Simplified electron momentum equation assuming axial electric field and axial plasma density gradient

$$\mu_{e0} = \frac{e}{mv_{en}}$$

$$\mu_{e0} = \frac{e}{mv_{en}}$$

$$\lim_{k \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{i} \sum_{i$$

$$en[\boldsymbol{E} + \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \times \boldsymbol{B}] + e\nabla(nT_{\boldsymbol{e}}) + mn\boldsymbol{v}_{en}\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{e}} = \boldsymbol{0}$$

- **1.** Basic physics of low temperature $E \times B$ plasmas
- Classical, collisional transport theory:
- Simplified electron momentum equation assuming axial electric field and axial plasma density gradient



$$en[\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{e}} \times \mathbf{B}] + e\nabla(nT_{\mathbf{e}}) + mn\mathbf{v}_{en}\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{0}$$

- **1.** Basic physics of low temperature $E \times B$ plasmas
- Classical, collisional transport theory:
 - $\mu_{\parallel E} \approx \frac{\mu_{e0}}{h^2}$. Electron mobility parallel to E is reduced by h^2
 - Large drift velocity in $E \times B$ direction (\rightarrow Hall current)
 - Efficient confinement only if Hall current does not go to a wall (otherwise Hall effect)
 - \rightarrow Hall current must be closed on itself
 - \rightarrow Hall current in azimuthal direction of cylindrical device : Closed drift device
 - \rightarrow Cylindrical configuration with axial E and radial B, or axial B and radial E

Closed drift devices



CINIS

Laplace

10

JPB

GDR EMILI 2023

Anomalous cross-field transport in closed drift devices

- Confinement of electrons is never as good as predicted by the classical, collisional theory. Instabilities are ubiquitous in $E \times B$ plasmas used in applications \rightarrow « anomalous » cross-field transport
- Electron mobility // to electric field is reduced but is much larger than predicted by classical, collisional theory
- Unstable fluctuations in a large range of frequencies and wavelengths: kHz to 10's MHz, fraction of mm to cms
- Self-organization into coherent structures (spokes) rotating in the *E* × *B* direction typically occur in Hall thrusters for space propulsion or in magnetron discharges for plasma processing
- In partially magnetized plasmas, instabilities are often due to the large difference between azimuthal drift velocities of electrons and ions → charge separation



JPB

Anomalous cross-field transport in closed drift devices

- Rotating non-uniformities are also present in simulations.
- For example, Particle In Cell Monte Carlo Collisions simulations of cylindrical magnetron discharge
 Penning discharge



M Sengupta, A Smolyakov, Y Raitses, J. Appl. Phys. 129, 223302 (2021)

AT Powis, JA Carlsson, ID Kaganovich; Y Raitses, A Smolyakov Phys. Plasmas 25 072110 (2018)

Laplace

Instabilities and anomalous transport – Dispersion relations

- Study of instabilities by linearization of fluid or kinetic equations
- Example of a typical problem (e.g. Hall thruster, magnetron discharge)
 - Electric field E_0 and plasma density gradient $\frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} \approx \frac{1}{L_n}$ in x direction
 - Given external magnetic field *B* in *z* direction
 - Study the development of instabilities in **y** direction $(E_0 \times B)$



JPB

Laplace

- **1.** Basic physics of low temperature $E \times B$ plasmas
- Instabilities and anomalous transport Dispersion relations
- Example of approximation
- lons continuity and momentum

 $\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} . (n_i \boldsymbol{\nu}_i) = 0$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_i}{\partial t} + (\boldsymbol{v}_i, \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \boldsymbol{v}_i = -\frac{e}{M} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\phi}$$

Collisionless ions Neglect ion pressure Non-magnetized ions

- Electrons – continuity and momentum

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n_e v_e) &= 0\\ n_e v_e &= n_e v_E + n_e v_{pe}\\ &= n_e \frac{-\nabla \phi \times B}{B^2} + \frac{\nabla (n_e T_e) \times B}{B^2} \end{aligned}$$

Collisionless electrons Neglect inertia terms in momentum equation $E \times B$ + diamagnetic drift Constant electron temperature

Neglect axial electron and ion velocities

- Quasineutrality

 $n_i = n_e$

Frias et al., Phys. Plasmas 19, 072112 (2012), 20, 052108 (2013)

GDR EMILI 2023



Laplace

- **1.** Basic physics of low temperature $E \times B$ plasmas
- Instabilities and anomalous transport Dispersion relations
- Example of approximation
 - Look for solutions of the form $n = n_0 + \tilde{n} \exp(-i\omega t + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})$, $\phi = \phi_0 + \tilde{\phi} \exp(-i\omega t + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})$ with $\tilde{n} << n_0$ and $\tilde{\phi} << \phi_0$, and $\omega = \omega_R + i\gamma$
 - o Linearization of the equations above with respect to \widetilde{n} , $\widetilde{\phi}$
 - Growth of the instability if imaginary part of ω is positive: $\gamma > 0$



JPB

Laplace

- **1.** Basic physics of low temperature $E \times B$ plasmas
- Instabilities and anomalous transport Dispersion relations
- Example of approximation

$$\frac{k^2 c_s^2}{\omega^2} = \frac{\omega_*}{\omega - \omega_0}$$

Low frequency solutions $\omega \ll \omega_0$

$$\frac{k^2 c_s^2}{\omega^2} \approx -\frac{\omega_*}{\omega_0} = -\frac{\nu_*}{\nu_{E0}}$$

Solutions with positive imaginary part \rightarrow Collisionless Simon-Hoh instability

i.e. if electric field and plasma density gradient are in the same direction E. $\nabla n > 0$ very common situations in low temperature magnetized plasmas





Instabilities and anomalous transport – Dispersion relations

If electron inertia and gyroviscosity, and e- collisions with neutrals are taken into account, dispersion relation becomes

$$\begin{split} \omega_{*} + k^{2} \rho_{e}^{2} (\omega - \omega_{0} + i v_{en}) \\ \omega - \omega_{0} + k^{2} \rho_{e}^{2} (\omega - \omega_{0} + i v_{en}) \\ \end{array} = \frac{k^{2} c_{s}^{2}}{\omega^{2}} \end{split} \qquad \begin{aligned} \omega_{0} &= -k v_{e} \\ \omega_{*} &= -k v_{*} \\ c_{s} \\ \rho_{e} \\ v_{en} \\ \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} \text{Diamagnetic drift} \\ \text{Ion sound speed} \\ \text{Larmor radius} \\ \text{Electron neutral col freq} \end{aligned}$$

→ High frequency, small wavelength, collisional extension of Simon-Hoh instabilities occur due to combined effects of electric field, density gradient and collisions

See A. Smolyakov et al., Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 59 01404041 (2017) J.P. Boeuf and A. Smolyakov, Phys. Plasmas 30, 050901 (2023)

Modeling approaches

- Theory can describe only linear development of instabilities. Instabilities does not grow indefinetly. Saturation of instability must be studied with numerical models
- Practically, numerical fluid models are useful but not self-consistent (imposed electron velocity distribution function) + numerical difficulties because of large anisotropy of electron conductivity
- → Particle-In-Cell Monte Carlo Collisions (PIC MCC) models to study instabilities
- 3D Particle models still expensive \rightarrow 2D models
- 2D models must contain azimuthal direction to describe $E \times B$ instabilities
- To understand the physics: simplified, or reduced models to study specific types of instabilities: separate different mechanisms, different time scales etc...

JPB CTS Laplace 18

- Two examples of rotating instabilities
 - Microinstabilities in Hall thrusters for spacecraft propulsion



D Goebel & I Katz Fundamental of EP (2002) JP Boeuf, Tutorial, J.Appl. Phys (2017)

Macroinstabilities in Magnetron discharges for sputtering/deposition



A Anders, J. Appl. Phys. (2017) JT Gudmundsson, PSST 29 113001 (2020)

JPB



> Hall thruster



exhaust plane

Electric as large as 400 V/cm generated over 1 cm (>> λ_{De}) in quasineutral high density plasma !

- Used on many telecom satellites (100 W \rightarrow 10 kW)
- Work well but physics is not well understod
- No predictive model Empirical conception
- Instabilities and anomalous electron transport
- Ion extraction without grids \rightarrow thrust





> Hall thruster



Electric as large as 400 V/cm generated over 1 cm (>> λ_{De}) in quasineutral high density plasma !

- Used on many telecom satellites (100 W \rightarrow 10 kW)
- Work well but physics is not well understod
- No predictive model Empirical conception
- Instabilities and anomalous electron transport
- Ion extraction without grids \rightarrow thrust



kW thruster: ~ 300 V, 4 A, 5 mg/s Xenon



Hall thruster



- Small electron conductivity in large radial magnetic field B_r region → large axial electric E_x ($J_{ex} = \sigma E_x$)
- Ionization region upstream of acceleration region
 → very low neutral density in acceleration region



 Mechanism of cross-field electron transport in ~collisionless region between external cathode and ionization region ?

GDR EMILI 2023



Laplace

Simplified 2D PIC MCC axial-azimuthal model of Hall thruster

- (x,z) collisionless PIC simulation with given source term (ionization rate) and given electron current injected on the cathode side
- Given magnetic field profile and applied voltage (200 V)
- Goal: study cross-field transport between cathode and ionization region



Laplace

- 2D PIC MCC axial-azimuthal model of Hall thruster
- Rectangular domain with periodic boundary conditions (azimuthal direction)



JPB

Laplace

24

Typical dimensions (x, y): 2.5 cm x 1 cm

Example 1: 2D PIC MCC axial-azimuthal model of Hall thruster

Simulation is consistent with theory of Electron Cyclotron Drift Instability: ECDI



- Collisionless electron transport across B field
- Effective (anomalous) collision frequency: several 10⁶ s⁻¹, increases with plasma density
- Effective Hall parameter: $h = \frac{v_{ez}}{v_{ex}}$ = several 100

- Azimuthal field E_y [-5x10⁴, +5x10⁴] V/m

- Positive ion density $n_i [0 - 5x10^{17}] \text{ m}^{-3}$

$$E \times B$$

JPE

Laplace 25

GDR EMILI 2023

- **2.** Rotating instabilities in $E \times B$ discharge devices
 - Example 1: 2D PIC MCC axial-azimuthal model of Hall thruster

Simulation is consistent with theory of Electron Cyclotron Drift Instability: ECDI



- Wavelength of the instability ~1 mm
- Wave velocity ~ 5x10³ m/s
- Wave angular frequency ~ 3x10⁷ rd/s

First evidence of ECDI in Hall thrusters in PIC MCC models: JC Adam, A Heron, G Laval, Phys. Plasmas 11 295 (2004) Experiments: Tsikata et al., Phys. Plasmas 16 033506 (2009)

Simplified model

JP Boeuf & L Garrigues, Phys. Plasmas 25 061204 (2018) T Charoy et al., PSST 28 105010 (2019) (benchmarks) A Smolyakov et al., Plasma Physics reports, 46 496 (2020)

JPB



Example 1: 2D PIC MCC axial-azimuthal model of Hall thruster

Time averaged axial profiles



JPB

Laplace

Theory of ECDI vs PIC simulations vs experiments

- 2D PIC simulations in good agreement with theory of $E \times B$ Electron Cyclotron Drift Instability.
- Measurements of density fluctuations by collective laser scattering: Tsikata, Gresillon, et al, Phys. Plasmas 16 033506 (2009). Experimental results show instabilities with frequencies and wavelength in agreement with theory and PIC simulations, but amplitude of the fluctuations much smaller than in PIC simulations
- More work still needed to understand discrepancies between experiment and simulations on the amplitude of fluctuations – Saturation mechanisms ?, 3D effects ?

JPB



Magnetron discharges



JT Gudmundsson, PSST 29 113001 (2020)

A Hecimovic et al., J Phys D 51 453001 (2018)

- Cusped magnetic field generated by magnets behind the cathode; azimuthal Hall current
- Operates at pressure ~ 0.1 Pa with dimensions of a few cms
- Ions freely accelerated to the cathode in cathode sheath → sputtering
- Deposition of sputtered metal atoms on substrate
- \rightarrow application to sputtering and deposition processes.



Magnetron discharges



JT Gudmundsson, PSST 29 113001 (2020)

0.25 Pa 0.5 Pa 1 Pa 2 Pa

M. Panjan et al., PSST 24 065010 (2015) M. Panjan, J. Appl. Phys., 125 203303 (2019)

- Discharge is not azimuthally uniform
- Rotating structure (spoke) in azimuthal direction
- Number of modes increases with pressure

JPB



Magnetron discharges



JT Gudmundsson, PSST 29 113001 (2020)





Laplace

31

M Panjan and A Anders, JAP 121 063302 (2017) J Held et al. PSST 31 085013 (2022)

- Space and time resolved probe measurements of plasma properties
- Abrupt potential drop at the front of the spoke (several 10s of Volts)
- Attributed to the formation of a double layer

GDR EMILI 2023

JPB

> Experiments: probe measurements of n_e , T_e , ϕ_p , and EEPF at Bochum Univ

J Held et al., Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 31 085013 (2022) Low power, dc magnetron

Argon, 0.6 Pa, B_{max}=120 mT, V=255 V



• Observation of one spoke (mode m=1) rotating in the - $E \times B$ direction

JPB

Laplace

PIC MCC simulation of experiment of J Held et al., PSST 31 085013 (2022)

2D axial-azimuthal PIC MCC Simulation

argon, 0.6 Pa, B_{max}=120 mT, V=260 V



experiment



simulation

JPB

Chrs

33

- 2. Rotating instabilities in *E*×*B* discharge devices
 - ID PIC MCC simulation of experiment of J Held et al., PSST 31 085013 (2022)

Magnetron discharge, argon, 0.6 Pa, B_{max}=120 mT, V=260 V





- The 1D solution is stable
- Electric field penetrates in the plasma because of low electron mobility
- A 2D solution including the azimuthal direction is not stable because of Simon-Hoh instability
 E. *Vn* > 0 in the plasma (illustrated below)

JPB



- **2.** Rotating instabilities in $E \times B$ discharge devices
 - 2D PIC MCC simulation of experiment of J Held et al., PSST 31 085013 (2022)

Magnetron discharge, argon, 0.6 Pa, B_{max}=120 mT, V=260 V



JPB

> 2D PIC MCC simulation of experiment of J Held et al., PSST 31 085013 (2022)

Magnetron discharge, argon, 0.6 Pa, B_{max}=120 mT, V=260 V



JPB

Laplace

- **2.** Rotating instabilities in $E \times B$ discharge devices
 - > 2D PIC MCC simulation of experiment of J Held et al., PSST 31 085013 (2022)

Magnetron discharge, argon, 0.6 Pa, B_{max}=120 mT, V=260 V



JPB

Laplace

- PIC-MCC simulation of magnetron discharge
 Mechanisms of electron heating $J_e.E = -en_ev.E$
 - Electron heating per electron (eV/s) $\theta = -v.E$
 - Electron mean velocity is composed of:
 - **ExB drift velocity** $v_{E \times B} \approx E/B$ $v_{E \times B} \perp E \Rightarrow$ no electron heating
 - Mean velocity //E $v_{\parallel E} \approx E/B v/\omega_{ce}$ small because $v/\omega_{ce} <<1$
 - Grad B drift velocity $\boldsymbol{v}_{\nabla B} = 1/2 \rho_e v_{\perp} \boldsymbol{B} \times \nabla B/B^2$





GDR EMILI 2023

 $\otimes^{\mathbf{B}}$

V//E

Ε

PIC-MCC simulation of magnetron discharge
 Mechanisms of electron heating

• Electron heating per electron (eV/s) $\theta = -\nu . E$

Grad B drift velocity $\boldsymbol{v}_{\nabla B} = 1/2 \rho_e v_{\perp} \boldsymbol{B} \times \nabla B/B^2$

Laplace

JPB

39

 $\mathbf{v}_{\nabla \mathsf{B}}$ $\theta = -v_{\nabla B}$. E > 0 electron heating

 $\mathbf{V}_{\nabla \mathbf{B}}$ $\theta = -\boldsymbol{v}_{\nabla B}$. $\boldsymbol{E} < 0$ electron cooling

GDR EMILI 2023

 \otimes^{B}

Ε

Ε

3. CONCLUSION (1)

- > $E \times B$ configurations are used to confine electrons and generate plasmas at low pressures e.g. in ion sources, ion thrusters for space propulsion, magnetron discharges for sputtering-deposition, ...
- > The physics of $E \times B$ discharges is rich and complex, and not fully understood. Specific properties of instabilities due to partial magnetization
- > Important recent progress in the understanding of $E \times B$ plasmas. Synergy between different approaches: theory, experiments, fluid models, kinetic models.
- Work is still needed to develop models with predicting capabilities



3. CONCLUSION (2)

- > LTP community is organizing itself to identify critical questions related to partially magnetized $E \times B$ plasmas, and suggest directions for future research
 - Review papers
 - \rightarrow I Kaganovich et al., *Physics of E* × *B discharges relevant to plasma propulsion and similar technologies*, Phys.Plasmas 27, 120601 (2020)
 - \rightarrow J.P. Boeuf and A. Smolyakov., *Physics and instabilities of low-temperature* $E \times B$ plasmas for spacecraft propulsion and other applications, Phys. Plasmas 30, 050901 (2023)
 - Organization of workshops on *E* × *B* plasmas
 Toulouse 2017, Princeton 2018, Madrid 2022, …
 - Organization of benchmarks of codes (and experiments ?) <u>https://www.landmark-plasma.com</u>
 - Copy of similar presentation (64th annual meeting of the APS Division of Plasma Physics, 2022) <u>https://www.jpboeuf.fr/research/conference-presentations</u>

GDR EMILI 2023

JPB



Anomalous cross-field transport in plasma columns

b)



MISTRAL – Marseille



JPB



Example of solutions of dispersion relation

 $\omega_{LH} = \sqrt{\omega_{ce}\omega_{ci}} = eB/\sqrt{mM}$ (lower hybrid frequency)





Calculated for xenon, B=40 Gauss ($\omega_{LH} = 1.4 \times 10^6 \text{ rd/s}$) $\nu_{en} = 5 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ $T_e = 5 \text{ eV}, L_n = 1 \text{ cm}$

Instability wavelength: $\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{k}$

See A. Smolyakov et al., PPCF 59 01404041 (2017) J.P. Boeuf, Phys. Plasmas 26 072113 (2019)

JPB



Laplace

GDR EMILI 2023

> Examples: 2D PIC MCC axial-azimuthal model of $E \times B$ discharges



- 2 very different types of instabilities on anode and cathode sides of B_{max}
- On anode side: electric field and density gradient in the same direction
 → Large wavelength, Simon-Hoh instability leading to «Rotating Spoke »
- On cathode side:
 - \rightarrow kinetic micro-instability (Electron Cyclotron Drift Instability)

Examples: 2D PIC MCC axial-azimuthal model of E × B discharges
Example 1
Example 2



JPB

45

> Theory of $E \times B$ Electron Cyclotron Drift Instability

- Azimuthal instability found in PIC simulations of Hall thrusters similar to Electron Cyclotron Drift Instability studied in the 1970's in the context of collisionless shocks in space plasmas.
- Instability is triggered by the difference in azimuthal velocities of electrons and ions
- Kinetic instability due to coupling between electron Bernstein waves and ion acoustic waves
- Dispersion equation of electrostatic waves in a hot magnetized electron beam drifting across a magnetic field, with non magnetized ions

$$1 + k^{2}\lambda_{De}^{2} + g\left(\frac{\omega - k_{y}V_{d}}{\Omega_{ce}}, \left(k_{x}^{2} + k_{y}^{2}\right)\rho^{2}, k_{z}^{2}\rho^{2}\right) - \frac{k^{2}\lambda_{De}^{2}\omega_{\rho i}^{2}}{\left(\omega - k_{x}V_{i,b}\right)^{2}} = 0 \qquad g(\Omega, X, Y) \text{ Gordeev function}$$

¹Wong, Phys. Fluids, 13 757 (1970)
²Gary and Sanderson J. Plasma Phys. 4 739 (1970)
³Forslund et al., Phys. rev. Lett. 25 1266 (1970)
⁴Lampe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 26 1221 (1971), Phys. Fluids, 15 662 (1972)
⁵Adam et al., Phys, Plasmas 11 295 (2004)
⁷Cavalier et al., Phys, Plasmas 20 082107 (2013)
⁸ Lafleur et al., Phys. Plasmas 23 053503 (2016)

GDR EMILI 2023

JPB

Laplace

> Theory of $E \times B$ Electron Cyclotron Drift Instability

Solutions of 3D dispersion relation¹ calculated for n=2x10¹⁷ m⁻³, T_e=25 eV, V_{i,b}=16 km/s

 $\lambda_{\rm De}$ =8.3x10^{-5} m, $\omega_{\rm pi}$ =5.1x10^7 rd/s, $V_{\rm d}/v_{\rm the}$ =0.3 , Ω $_{\rm ce}/\omega_{\rm pi}$ =50



¹Cavalier et al., Physics of Plasmas 20 082107 (2013)

resonances at $k_y \rho_e = n$ for $k_z = 0$

JPB

Laplace

PIC-MCC simulation of magnetron discharge
 Mechanisms of electron heating



JPB

Chrs



More on instabilities in Hall thrusters in next talk by Ben Jorns

JPB

Laplace